



**OFFICERS  
2007-2008**

**President**  
DONALD SELIGMAN  
**First Vice President**  
MICHAEL WIDMAN  
**Second Vice President**  
RICARDO GOMEZ  
**Coordinating Secretary**  
CHRIS LAIB  
**Recording Secretary**  
TESS NELSON  
**Treasurer**  
DONNA KOLB

*Los Feliz* Improvement Association  
P.O. Box 29395, Los Angeles, CA 90029  
(323) 660-1914  
www.LFIA.org

February 24, 2010

Councilmember Paul Koretz, Member  
Budget and Finance Committee  
Los Angeles City Hall, Room 440  
200 N. Spring Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Councilmember Koretz,

The Los Feliz Improvement Association, which represents over 1000 households, fully understands that Los Angeles is experiencing a fiscal crisis, and that drastic financial measures will be necessary in the 2010-2011 fiscal year. However, the Board is also fully aware that three items in the Chief Administrative Officer's "Three Year Plan to Fiscal Sustainability" file number 0590-00098-3842 (Council file number 09-0600-S159), pertaining to the Department of Recreation and Parks, do not effect any significant cost savings. These recommendations merely transfer funds from one city pocket to another to make the general fund look solvent, and emasculate a department that has already suffered devastating budget cuts.

The LFIA strongly opposes the following three proposals:

**1) CITY RESTRUCTURING PROPOSALS  
DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS  
REIMBURSEMENT OF GENERAL FUND COSTS**

"Part One" of this item proposes that the Department of Recreation and Parks pay fees for LADWP water and power usage at each of its over 400 properties. This proposal saves the City absolutely nothing. It simply takes \$20 million from the Department of Recreation and Parks' already inadequate operating budget and transfers it to the DWP's coffers.

"Part Two" of this item proposes that the Department of Recreation and Parks pay its pension liabilities at the rate of 10% in 2010, increasing to 50% in five years. As stated on page 215 of the CAO's

**Directors**

George Abrahamian  
Margie Bird  
Marilyn Bush\*  
Dennis Chew  
Sandra Collier  
Marian Dodge\*  
Tom Ford  
Margaret Goldsmith  
Terry Hughes\*  
Lynne T. Jewell  
Margret Lohfeld  
Norman Mennes  
Hilary Misiano  
David Roberti  
Patricia Ruben  
Jennifer Schlosberg  
Mary Beth Sorensen  
Chuck Soter  
Angela Stewart  
Jordan Susman  
June Teal  
Michael Tunick  
Valerie Vanaman  
Denise Wingate  
Demian Wyma  
Donna Zenor\*

\*Past President



February 24, 2010  
Councilmember Paul Koretz  
RE: Recreation and Parks budgetary proposals  
Page 2

report, "General Fund savings for 2010-2011 would be approximately \$4 million" at the cost of \$37.2 million to Recreation and Parks, representing "approximately a quarter of RAPs total operating budget." And what do the citizens of Los Angeles get in exchange? Nothing less than the "potential elimination of the recreation function" of Recreation and Parks. The LFIA suggests that rather than taking \$37.2 million from Recreation and Parks' operating funds in order to possibly save approximately \$4 million, it would be fairer and more fiscally responsible to simply reduce Recreation and Park's budget by \$4 million. The same amount of money would be saved, and Recreation and Parks would have \$33.2 million more in operating funds than suggested in the CAO's proposal.

## 2) CITY RESTRUCTURING PROPOSALS CONSOLIDATE DESIGN AND DELIVERY OF CAPITAL PROJECTS

This proposal removes the Advance Planning Division from the Department of Recreation and Parks, requires that it employ the Bureau of Engineering (BOE) to provide these fundamental services, and pay the BOE for same. This will reduce the operating funds available for recreational services while precipitating delays and additional expense in the planning and construction of projects that Recreation and Parks now handles internally (from a simple playground or drainage ditch, to the major wash-out repair of Vista del Valle mountain road in Griffith Park). The BOE is not parks-centric and will be slower to respond, delaying action in situations that may be urgent in terms of public safety. Once again, there will be little or no cost savings, as one department will simply pay another department for services rendered – services which will certainly be less efficient.

## 3) CITY RESTRUCTURING PROPOSALS PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP — GOLF OPERATIONS

Currently, revenue from the City's golf courses is returned directly to the Department of Recreation and Parks. Privatization will provide an opportunity for that revenue stream to be redirected elsewhere. Privatization changes the mission of the golf courses from services-centered to profit-centered and opens up these facilities to unforeseen alternate uses in the name of profit. Furthermore, the City has never demonstrated that its previous privatization schemes have generally provided any more than minimal additional revenues-- but they have enriched the lucky concessionaires at the public's expense. Until the City is willing to prove that privatization has worked in the past, it should not be entertaining plans to give away more of our precious assets.

The Los Feliz Improvement Association strongly opposes these draconian recommendations which are masked as responsible fiscal measures.

Sincerely yours,



Donald A. Seligman, D.D.S.  
President